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MR. ROY:
Call to order the Board of
Directors of the Louisiana Economic
Development Corporation. Roll call, please.

MS . SORRELL:
A.J. Roy.

MR. ROY:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Alden Andre.
MR. ANDRE :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Louis Reine.
MR. REINE :
Here.
MS . SORRELI:
Susan Tham.
MS . THAM:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Mandi Mitchell.
MS. MITCHELL:
Here.

MS . SORRELL:
Cal Simpson.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Nitin Kamath.
MR. KAMATH:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
We have a quorum.
MR. ROY:
Welcome to the board
meeting. Happy new year, and we have a new governor, a new secretary. That's how long it's been. We almost had a new president, but we just made it. At any rate, welcome, everyone. I ask that you-all silence your cell phones.

Next order of business is traditionally the secretary, Mr. Pierson, our new secretary appoints an ex-officio member of the board, and that's Ms. Mandi Mitchell Who's seated on the side of me, so most of you met Mandi, but I'm going to make sure, and she will give a welcome message.

I'm sorry. The secretary is
here. Okay. My apologizes.
MR. PIERSON:
Hey, I'm Don Pierson. I'm
the secretary of the Louisiana Economic Development, and first of all, $I$ want to thank you for your service to this board. That plays a very important role to our department, and the transaction of a lot of business really kind of comes to this place in a large of number of cases, and I know that you give up your time and your attention to participate here, so I greatly appreciate it from the standpoint of Louisiana Economic Development. Mandi will be my designee on the board, and she's assisted by our counsel, Bob Cangelosi and our secretary, Ann Villa, and I want you to know you have my full attention at all times. Today the legislature and some other things are active and important to the department as well. So I know I'm very ably represented by Ms. Mitchell, but I'll be
happy to answer questions that you have, but I really just wanted to tell this board personally that $I$ greatly respect the work that you do, and it's a vital part of our ability to move the state forward to create new jobs and investments that we seek to do for our existing companies, for new companies, and for a lot of small businesses that are out there. So thank you very much. MR. ROY:

Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Appreciate it. Any questions or comments for the secretary?

MR. REINE :
I was just going to congratulate him.

MR. PIERSON:
Thank you, Louis. Good to see you.

MR. ANDRE :
Thank you for coming.
MR. PIERSON:
Thank you. We'll be working offline on some other opportunities that
we have together, sir.
MR. ROY:
Very good. Thanks again for coming, and I'll entertain a motion to appoint Ms. Mitchell as the secretary's designee.

MR. REINE :
So moved.
MR . ANDRE :
Second.
MR. ROY:
Any discussion? All in
favor, "aye."
(Several members respond

```
"aye.")
```

All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Without objection. Thank you, sir. We appreciate it.

MR. REINE:
Do I need to have a packet
with the agenda and all that stuff?
MR. ROY:
Does anyone have an extra
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packet?
MR. REINE :
I figured it would be better
if I got a bunch of paperwork. Thank you.

MR. ROY:
The next order of business
is the approval of the minutes of October 2015.

MR. ANDRE :
Move for approval.
MR. ROY:
Motion for approval as presented.

MS . THAM:
Second.
MR. ROY:
Second. Any discussion?
Hearing none, all in favor, aye.
(Several members respond

```
"aye.")
```

MR. ROY:
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY:

Without objection.
In-house approval under the SSBCI, a brassiere company. Would anyone like to make a comment, a very carefully-scripted comment?

MR. PENNINGTON:
I can speak to it. This is a startup specialty shop, a startup. We approved the loan back in November for guarantee. As of today, this loan has not closed. It's passed our commitment letter. When you give 90 days of close, and the reason why we included in the letter is we approved it, and the board asked for any time when we approve a loan, include it in there. I spoke with the loan officer a couple times. Last time I spoke with him, he said that they probably won't close because they're having problems getting insurance, some liability insurance, and as of today, they won't close, and they probably won't. It was about $\$ 25,000$. It was a small loan that we approved. It's for a line of credit for the purchase of
inventory, and that's about all. You know, it's a brand new startup business, the kind of thing we want to help kind of encourage, but it looks like this one just -- it won't happen, unfortunately.

MR. ROY:
All right.
MR. REINE:
What do we do with it?
MR. PENNINGTON:
Well, we approved it, so

```
we --
```

MR. REINE:
Can you approve it?
MR. CANGELOSI :
No.
MR. PENNINGTON:
Not initially approving it.
It doesn't look like it's going to happen. In other words, the loan will close.

MR. ROY:
Your approval is conditional
for what period of time?
MR. PENNINGTON:

It's 90 days. Yes, sir.
MS . GUESS :
What happens is that if it doesn't climb after the stipulated time period, then the guarantee goes away, and the funds that were allocated to that particular loan go back to the loan fund. Everything was reversed.

MR. CANGELOSI:
It's merely being submitted as informational to the board as an action taken by that committee.

MR. ROY:
Any questions or commence?
Thank you. Next order of business is the treasurer's report, Ms. Villa. I'm sorry. Finance, that would be, and the Finance Committee met earlier?

MS . THAM:
We did, and the financial statements, which have been audited with no exception by the legislative auditor's office were presented. Total assets were almost 66 million, and the liabilities were a little over 5 million
left in total net position of almost 61 million 50 thousand that is
unrestricted. About thousand was
restricted for the SSBCI loan program.
There was more expenses than revenues this year by about 10 million, and they found no significant deficiencies in material weaknesses with internal control.

MR. ROY:
Very good. Any questions or comments?

MR. REINE:
Well, received a report. Do we need this whole body to approve writing off that realty stuff, or --

MR. ROY:
I don't think so, but do we need a motion?

MR. CANGELOSI:
I guess it's always safer to do that, but it may not be absolutely necessary, but $I$ recommend we do.

MR. REINE:
I move we approve charging

```
off NW Realty.
```

MR. ROY:
Does that also include a motion to accept the finance?

MR. REINE:
That it does.
MR. ANDRE :
Second.
MR. ROY:
Motion to accept and to make a charge off. Second. Any discussion?

Hearing none all in favor, "aye."
(Several members respond

```
"aye.")
```

MR. ROY:
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Without objection. Am I working off the old one or the new one?

MS . BIGNER:
You must be working off the
old one.
MR. VILLA:
There was a revised agenda
sent to the board with one added item.
MS . BIGNER:
You heard from me once today. You won't hear from he again.

MR. ROY:
Sorry. All right. Under venture capital, Ms. Bigner.

MS . BIGNER:
Good afternoon. What we
have is we're asking to realign some funds that were previously obligated to an LEDC fund, Louisiana Venture Funds, and release that so that we can use it to fill a hole with Themelios. Ross

Barrett handles both of these funds. I'll go back to Themelios. Themelios was approved in 2012. They have a million dollar obligation -- I mean appropriation of one million dollars from the SSBCI funds. Their first capital call was 333,333 . Of that, they took 333,000 and invested it in a company call K94. That investment was only SSBCI funds. There were no private investments until later in 2014 where
the fund went back and put another $\$ 400,000$ in.

In September of 2014, the US
Treasury came in and reviewed some of our files. They pulled this file and reviewed it and stated that the transaction into K94 was ineligible because there was not that -- the SSBCI funds were greater than 80 percent at risk. There was not the required 20 percent private investment at risk at the time of the investment. With that ineligible transaction, they took -because they agreed that it was ineligible and the funds had already been sent to us. They took it out of the next tranche. So next tranche had a shortfall of 324,233 for our that put that hole in the seed capital. So when they took the transaction back that took funds out of the Louisiana Seed Program where we had allocated the 5.1 million and changed, when they went back and they reversed the transaction to take it out of the tranche, that took the money
out of the Louisiana Seed Capital
Program. Since we have obligated the full $\$ 5.1$ million this put in the hole as far as funding, the remaining funds for Themelios. So when they go to make a capital call, that was certainly going to leave them, like, $\$ 340,000$ that we could actually fund them, and the shortfall was 324,233 . So what we're asking is that we be able to take the $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ in Louisiana ventures, which was a fund that was done back in 2003. Ross Barrett has stated that he will not be taking those funds, and we are free to release them. We'll take that $\$ 250,000$, realign it to fill the hole left by the SSBCI funds, and any investments that are made with the LEDC funds will continue to be LEDC funds, and we'll just have to abide by the SSBCI rules.

So the issues that we have
was US Treasury requires that the Louisiana Seed Capital Programs be reduced by the amount in the ineligible
transaction or be placed with the other LEDC funds. We're asking to replace those LEDC funds rather than just cut our funding to the obligation. The Seed

Capital Program has a shortfall of $\$ 324,233$. LEDC has the $\$ 250,000$ that would be released by the Louisiana Venture Program along with other funds that will be in there from other sources that will be able to fill that hole of 324,233. We did get in touch with the US Treasury's office to verify that we could invest state funds along with the US Treasury funds. They stated that it was -- that there were not any problems with that as long as we realized that 80 percent of the investment cannot be funded by more than 80 percent of SSBCI, and there must be at least a 20 percent at-risk from private investments. So that 20 percent at-risk cannot be the LEDC funds. It has to be other private investments, non-state funds. It's confusing, and $I$ understand.

MR. REINE :

You got me confused.
MS . BIGNER:
I apologize. The staff is recommending that we continue with the commitment to Themelios by replacing the shortfall of the appropriation by 324,233. Ross Barrett will sign the release of the $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ remaining unfunded in Louisiana ventures. LEDC will replace the shortfall of 324,233 with LEDC funds. The full replacement amount will be considered state funds. They will not be considered SSBCI funds, so what we'll have is the whole that is in there will be state funds, but they still have to follow the same rules and regulations as the SSBCI does.

MR. ROY:
In short, to continue with our commitment to Themelios we need to move these funds; otherwise, we cannot do so, correct?

MS . BIGNER:
Correct.
MR. ROY:

Questions, comments?
MS . THAM:
So far there's 333,333, but
the total commitment's a million?
MS . BIGNER:
Right. They made the first
half of the 333,333 . Of that, they
still have $\$ 333$ dollars that are not obligated that is in the fund, but the rest of the amount went into $\mathrm{K94}$, and that's the transaction that has been deemed ineligible. So what we did was we moved that investment over to LEDC funds as an LEDC investment. I know it sounds confusing, and I apologize.

MS . THAM:
And that K94 investment was part of what's valued in here?

MS . BIGNER:
Yes.
MS. THAM.
THE cost of 333,333 , but actually --

MS. BIGNER:
I think they had it valued
at 328 , or --
MS . THAM:
No. No. It actually showed
a gain. It was valued at 368 .
MS . BIGNER:
Oh, okay.
MS. THAM:
So it showed almost a $\$ 33,000$ thousand gain on that particular investment.

MS . GUESS :
And I'd just like to point
that one of the reasons the things that we're looking at in addition to making the them whole is that his fund is very, very active. In fact, he has contacted us, and he's got about $\$ 600,000$ worth of potential investments that he's looking to make.

MS . BIGNER:
Yeah, he's earmarked three
investments.
MS . GUESS :
He's earmarked three
investments, and we have carefully
carved out and spoken with him to tell him to advice him on which funds have to be generated from which pool of dollars, and so this is an attempt, like I said, to make him whole for our commitment that was made to him over two years ago for a million dollars.

MR. ROY:
No new money.
MS . BIGNER:
No new money.
MR. REINE:
Okay. Who did we make this
original commitment to?
MS. BIGNER:
It's the Themelios funds.
MR. REINE :
Themelios?
MS . BIGNER :
Themelios, T-H-E-M-E-L-I-O-S.

MR. REINE:
And so we committed to them money that, under the rules, that they weren't eligible to get?

MS . BIGNER:
No. They were eligible
to -- when we made the commitment,
everybody agreed to certain rules and regulations. When the investment was made, it was -- we missed that it was supposed to be 20 percent at risk by private investments, because the full amount went into --

MR. REINE:
The rules were that they had
to have 20 percent private investments.
MS . BIGNER:
Correct.
MR. REINE:
And they didn't.
MS . BIGNER:
Right.
MS . GUESS :
Let me --
MR. REINE :
I'm just trying to work my way through this.

MS . GUESS :
We were not in violation,
but it was a timing issue. It was at the time that our money went into owe that Themelios used our investment into his investment. Ours was the only one that if our money was in there today, and the other money comes tomorrow, and it was a timing issue, and when the treasury saw that off timing was certainly our money was in first rather than vice versa, so it was in there. There was no term because at the time that the investment was made, our money was 100 percent sure into that investment, not 80 percent.

MS. THAM:
So can I ask you if it's still -- is there private investment in there now?

MS . BIGNER:
They've done that other
\$400,000 from the fund. The private investment can come from the funds as long as it's non-SSBCI funds, and so they've made an additional $\$ 400,000$ investment with no SSBCI funds.

MR. REINE :
So if they couldn't make the commitment under the federal rules without them having 20 percent commitment, now they got 20 percent commitment. You still can't use the funds to give it to them.

MS . BIGNER:
No. Because the original
investment was deemed ineligible. What happened was our money went in first, and then later, their money went in.

MR. REINE :
Actually, the Fed's money went in through us.

MS . BIGNER:
Credit.
MR. RAINE :
Which they weren't eligible to get.

MS . BIGNER:
They were eligible.
MS . GUESS :
They were eligible.
MR. REINE :

No, because they didn't have
20 percent private, they weren't
eligible.
MS . BIGNER:
The transaction was
ineligible.
MS. THAM:
But the original investment we put in at that time, that was an eligible investment. They made an investment without 20 percent.

MR. REINE:
So they didn't follow the rules.

MS . GUESS :
They -- this happened early
on with SSBCI as they were working
through procedures, processes, rules, and regulations and passing information down to the states. Our application stated to the Feds, and we knew that we had to be at 80 percent in any investment. We've done that. Or couldn't we any more than 80 percent. The back and forth with treasury was,
like I said, a timing issue. When the dollars were done, we were at 100 percent, but we were talking about being 80 percent. It was told to us and it was our understanding that we couldn't be any more than 80 percent of that entire fund, once all monies had been --

MS . BIGNER:
We were looking at it as a
fund level.
MS . GUESS :
As a fund level.
MS . BIGNER:
And the US Treasury looks at it as an investment level. So we knew we would be able at 80 percent at that fund level when you put all the funds in together and you have the group funds, we knew we were at less than 80 percent in that fund. The treasurer came in and they said no, any funds going into this separate investment has to be 80 percent. And so that was where the miscommunication was.

MS . GUESS :

That was miscommunication on
their part down to us for -- which is why it happened that way.

MS. VILLA:
And, again, just to kind of reiterate, this investment was done in the very early stage of SSBCI. I believe the program started in the fall of 2011. This investment was made in early 2012, February timeframe, early 2012, so they were --

MS . BIGNER:
Actually, it was November 10th.

MS. VILIA:
Just from working with them Mr. Rain in the very beginning there was lots of communications going back and forth between the Feds and the states the treasurer's department and all the states participating as to question/answers and facts and so this was just a misinterpretation, and we actually had received a clean audit from the inspector general's office, and then
this treasury came in late 2014 and
audited all of the files because of the
fact that we were going for our third
tranche, and that's when we became and
aware and we basically -- we argued back
and forth with them on the
interpretation of the language that was
presented and to no avail. We were not
successful in our argument, and so we
wanted to keep the commitment that we
committed over two and a half years ago
to this fund at a million dollars and
keep our commitment whole, and since he
had an unused balance from another fund
that was exiting unused, then we sought
that that would be an opportunity for us
to reallocate from that fund into this
one and keep, you know, keep the fund
whole.

MR. REINE :
Okay. I'm just trying to
understand. When the Fed says you can't use that money, which is what they had, right? Because --

MS . BIGNER:

They said the transaction
itself was ineligible. The transaction.
MR. REINE:
So since --
MS . BIGNER :
Not that we couldn't use the money, but the transaction.

MR. REINE :
The transaction that federal money went from us to them was disallowed?

MS . BIGNER:
Right.
MR. REINE :
So where did that money come from at that point that it couldn't be federal money?

MS. VILLA :
It was just --
MR. CANGELOSI:
It had already been given to us.

MS. BIGNER:
That's what's making us
whole right now.

MR. REINE :
We'll get into the whole, but I got to figure out the pieces before $I$ put the puzzle together.

MR. BAHAM:
What happened when they
determine the transaction, the money that was invested in the company, we were working on receiving our last tranche from them, the 3 million something odd dollars. They took it off the back end.

MS . GUESS .
They withheld it.
MR. BAHAM:
They withheld it, so --
MR. REINE :
That's the money --
MR. BAHAM:
-- paying it back, they just
took it, and they decreased the
allotment that we got for the third tranche.

MR. REINE :
Okay. If I got Alden's
money to pay A.J., and all the sudden, I couldn't use all the money, so A.J. got paid, whose money is it?

MS. VILIA:
No, there was no -- there wasn't a call for that, you know, a call for that investment. That's why we're here today, because we have a workaround with them shortening the last tranche, and so we have to get the board to approve that reallocation, so there's been no money given as a call, a capital call. There's been no money given out to them.

MR. REINE:
Okay. So they didn't get the money.

MS. VILIAA:
No, not yet.
MR. REINE :
So tell me about K94 and they got money that they're not going to be using. Who's K94?

MS . BIGNER:
K94 is an investment that
the fund Themelios made. It's a
pharmaceutical company.
MR. REINE:
Same people?
MS . BIGNER:
Ross Barrett manages
Louisiana Venture and Themelios.
MR. REINE :
So he's just going to not
take it in one company so that frees it up so he can give it to another company?

MS . BIGNER:
One fund. That's like
saying I've got two projects, and one project I'm almost finished with, and I know I've got $\$ 250,000$ that I'm not going to use, so, therefore, I'm going to release those founds because I've gone over on another project, and I need those funds for that project. So what we're asking is to move it from the first project over to the second project so we that can --

MR. REINE :
That's about the only part I
understand.
MR. BIGNER:
I'm sorry.
MR. REINE :
It's not your fault. It's mine. But my bank doesn't allow me to do business like that, so --

MR. ANDRE:
Mr. Chairman, in the
interest of trusting our dear staff, and we've had audits and audits and audits and they're all clean, we're going to fix this problem.

MR. ROY:
Okay. Motion to --
MS . THAM:
Second.
MR. ROY:
-- make the correction. And
I assume we're obligated upped to the million dollars to start with.

MS . BIGNER:
We have -- we did make a commitment to the fund of a million dollars.

MR. ROY:
So we're just making good on
our --
MS . BIGNER:
We're just making it whole.
Right.
MR. ROY:
Okay. Motion and a second. Any discussion? All in favor, "aye."
(Several members respond
"aye.")
MR. ROY:
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Without objection. Thank
you. Good discussion. It is difficult to understand this. All right. Moving along, the treasurer's report.

MS. VILLA:
Thank you Ann Villa,
secretary for Louisiana Economic Development. The secretary treasurer's report as of March 11, 2016, the first page. I'll just go through the budget
and total, and then I'll get into the detail, but our budget for FY16 is 60 million 181.

MR. ROY:
By chance, do you know the page number on electronic?

MS. VILIA:
Page 113.
MR. ROY:
Okay. Great. That helps.
MS. VILLA:
Okay. I'll give you-all a minute to get to it. Okay. So our FY16 budget is a total of 16 million, 181,766 dollars. We have approved and projected expenditures of 2 million 895,663 , and then we have an EDAP that's pending board approval. It says of 800,000 , but we're not bringing anything forward to the board. I think that's just a total as we get to those details. That's going to be the total of those. And then so we have projects under review of 7 million 570, which gives us a projected ending balance of 4 million

966,103. The next page is our financial assistance page. The financial
assistance program is expected to have a balance year end of $\$ 190,000$. Our State Small Business Credit Initiative program has a budget of 2,557,174 dollars, and we have the two projects, Trinity Rental Services, 46,875 and the Uplift Home Bank Project of 4,688 , which gives us a balance of $2,505,611$, so we're still working on our marketing program for our State Small Business Credit Initiative. This is a program that ends March of 2017, so we have a about a year left of this federal program. I can report that the President has included in his budget to Congress another round of funding for State Small Business Credit Initiatives, which we are hopeful that will get passed and that will allow us to have continual funding of this program which has brought, you know, a lot of investments into small businesses across the state. Let's see if I'm missing anything.

MR. REINE :
The Uplift, didn't we just
talk about we weren't going to do that?
MS. VILLA:
Well, that's the one that --
it's an approved project, but it's just
listed on this secretary treasurer's report as an approved project, so based upon what happens with that, that approval, whether or not they go forth with the loan or not, it will reflected on the next secretary treasurer's report as available funding.

MR. REINE:
Okay.
MR. ROY:
And as my understanding
overall of the programs of the federal money that we receive was that if that money was returned to us, we could recycle it.

MS. VILLA:
Correct.
MR. ROY:
To what extent is that
happening?

MS. VILIA:
We just worked on four --
Christian's probably a better person because he probably can -- he can rattle it off the top of his head, but I know that we just worked on recycled funds and how much it coming into the state. The program does end in March of 2014. What rules we received from treasury is if, for whatever reason, we have not loaned out to our capacity that we were given, then whatever's remaining will not lose its identity, will continue to have this treasury ties to it, but our idea is that we will continue to recycle the money that we have for small business initiative, you know, small business initiatives that we have throughout the state.

MR. PENNINGTON:
As of today, we have about a million one dollars that have been recycled back in the fund from previous loans that we guaranteed, and the terms
of those loans have ended, so these were mostly done in early 2012, 2013, and those are mostly three-year loan guarantees, and they have since matured.

I did an estimate for what it will be when the program ends, and that's assuming none of the loans pay off early. I want to say it was about a million 7 -- yeah, a million 7 recycled, and then about another year, so that's where we stand as of today.

MR. REINE:
And total we have here, the balance, does that include the million dollars that's --

MR. PENNINGTON:
No, sir.
MS. VILLA:
I mean, it's probably
sitting on the balance sheet. Errol can probably talk to the accounting of it better than $I$ can, but it's sitting on the balance sheet. The balance that you see here is what we have left basically to use of this final tranche for loan
guarantees.
MR. REINE:
What happens to the money
that gets paid back?
MS. VILIAA:
The money that's been paid
back is basically sitting on the -- I
mean, right now it's sitting in
restricted -- I would assume it's
sitting in the restricted assets since it still has the identity of the Feds on it. I'll let Errol talk to that.

MR . SMITH:
The recycled funds from
SSBCI program, those are funds from
we're required to report total
guarantees and total, I guess,
[inaudible] but those monies are going back into the fund, and they're just sitting there in that fund balance, so in that fund balance SSBCI includes that 1.1 million dollars.

MR. PENNINGTON:
Today. Yeah.
MR. SMITH:

Right. That is sitting
there that, you know --
MR. REINE:
So the 2,695,000 includes
the 1.1 recycled money.
MS. VILLA:
The 2 million 505 number.
MR. THAM:
That was the restricted
number on the balance sheet that was, I assume, the total amount that the Feds have put in.

MR. REINE:
Okay. The million dollars is in there?

MS. VILLA:
Not in the 2.5 number that's on the secretary treasurer's report.

MR. REINE :
Okay. Where is it and what are we going to do it with? It's coming back in here?

MR. SMITH:
I don't know what's on
the --

MS. VILLA:

The secretary treasurer's
report shows a projected year-end
balance of 2 million 505 , and what I'm stating is that our budget for $F Y$ 15-16 is 2,557,174. That does not include any prior year estimate.

MR. SMITH:
Or property return.
MS . VILIA:
Or property return that we -- or recycled funds that we have in the account. This just includes the amount of money that we have left to use as loan guarantee programs for the balance of the program.

MR. ROY:
So will it, in fact, we
returned to the LEDC balance sheet, assuming we used it expeditiously?

MS . VILIA:
Correct. And as the
treasury funds, as the program, as this program ends in March of '17, then, you know, an evaluations will be determined
because we may suggest to the board that we use the funds for other small business initiatives that we have.

Maybe it's a different type of loan program, because right now, we're restricted to only a loan guarantee program, so, you know, within the next year, we're going to be talking about recycled funds to the board, talking about initiatives or strategies for us to use to put those monies, continue to put those monies back into small businesses throughout the state.

MR. REINE:
So somewhere there's a million dollars.

MS. VILLA:
On the balance sheet.
MR. REINE :
On the balance sheet. It
doesn't show up anywhere here?
MS. VILIA:
Correct. Not on this page
that we're talking about now. Correct.
MR. REINE:

Okay.
MR. ROY:
But it is there and will be on the LEDC balance sheet somewhere.

MS. VILIA:
Right. It's included in the restricted amount, so if you look at the --

MR. ANDRE :
The appropriation of SSBCI is in later on?

MS. VILLA:
No. It's in the full report that you have for the audit that was prepared by the legislative auditors. It's in that restricted amount that we discussed earlier the 10 million 505, if I'm not mistaken.
*MR. REINE:
So it doesn't show up anywhere on the treasurer's report?

MS VILLA:
Right. This is the kind of a period of time. It doesn't reflect -this doesn't reflect, like, a true
balance sheet, what we show you every month. This is reflective of the current budget and the current projects that -- the current year's budget and current year's project that we're working on, nothing cumulative is in here. I guess that's probably the better way to express that.

MR. ROY:
Okay. Any other questions
or comments anything else?
MS. VILLA:
I was just going to
continue. We were talking about that second page now with the capital outlay detail, which talks about our capital outlay appropriation. That's the third page probably on your handout. Next page in your electronic files. So the FY15-16 budget that we have for capital outlay is a total of $13,434,592$, which is broken out into those two categories of capital outlay appropriation and the appropriation for the EDRED funds. We have a total number of projects that
are -- we have projected -- I'm sorry -approved projected expenditures of 1,660,000, which includes Martin Breyer, which was previously approved earlier in this fiscal year, and then Crest Industries, which was approved in the fall of 460,000 , and then we have TCI Plastics, which is a project that we have in house that we'll probably hopefully be bringing forth to the board perhaps next month, so that total of 800,000 , so we have a projected balance of $9,638,937$ dollars and we have total of $7,570,000$ projects that are currently under review with a projected year-end of balance of $2,680,937$. And then if you go down to the EDRED program, we've got a 1,335,655 as our budget for FY16, and we have a $1,134,100$ of approved expenditures, and then we have a balance expect of 201,555 .

MR. REINE:
The balance at the end of
the year, does it carry over, or does it have to be re-appropriated?

MS. VILLA:
It would be carried over as capital outlay, so it's carried over:

There's notes down there that balance
includes FY15 appropriation of 10 million, and then we've got a 10 million prior to 5 funding that we would get in FY17 for new projects. And then the last page takes you through our LED projections for ' 16 , total fund balance of $16,758,037$, of which $I$ indicated earlier, cash from investments. That's a $\$ 3.2$ million number that we have in there. And then all of our expenditures down the line there of 16 , million 774 with a projected balance of 13,308 . So we're basically covering our expenses with the LED finding as well as any cash from investments. Questions? I know that's a lot of --

MR. ROY:
Questions or comments?
MR. REINE:
Is there any more than a
somewhere we don't give a report on?
MS. VILIA:
It's in your accountant's report, so Errol usually does that every time we meet. He does an accountant's report. That's kind of got the current financial statements. It's different from the secretary treasurer's report.

MS. THAM:
I hate to keep going back to that, but will we get a choice? I mean, will LED have a choice what to do with those recycled funds? I mean, it's restricted, $I$ assume usually restricted by who gave the money. What does it say about what we do with the money when we get it back from a loan?

MS. VILIA:
Well, as long as the program is still active, we have to continue to use it in its capacity that it was given to us in.

MS . THAM:
So what if it's saying it's no longer active?

MS. VILIA:
So as of March of 17 , it's basically returned to the state LEDC, and our intention is to continue with the program, and so, you know, we like to have that program and continue to have an offering for small businesses.

MS . THAM:
So at that point, does that money become unrestricted.

MS. VILLA:
It becomes -- all the money
that's been used and as it becomes rightly cycled in is unrestricted after March of 2017 , and that's what I was kind of indicating is we'll have to present to the board the plans for those monies and give recommendations as to how we think that it would fit best within the small business community of the state. We're having internal discussions now with where boards are and what specially could work best, so we're having internal discussions now and evaluating those.

MR. ROY:
Good discussion. Any other
questions or comments? Hearing none, any comments from the public on this or anything else? Entertain a motion for approval of the treasurer's report.

MR. KAMATH:
Move.
MR. ANDRE :
Second.
MR. ROY:
Any discussion? All in
favor, "aye."
(Several members respond
"aye.")
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY: Without objection.
Very good. Mr. Kamath has to leave.
Thank you for coming.
MR. KAMATH :
Thanks.
MR. ROY:
All right. President's report.

MS. MITCHELL:
I'll be brief because I
believe some folks some have some other commitments this afternoon.

Good afternoon, everyone.
Mandi Mitchell, assistant secretary, and
I look forward to continuing to work with the LEDC board as Secretary

Person's designee. Really briefly, I want to share with you that LED did suffer some budget reductions as a result of the very huge fiscal situation that we find ourselves in, so we did expect to have to share in the pain. Unfortunately, none of the programs covered by LEDC were cut or reduced, and so I just wanted to report that to you and just to recap on vendor's comp, as Ms. Tham brought that issue up. Thankfully, two years ago, we requested that the legislature decouple the LED fund from the venture compensation fund so that we now longer have to stress about any changes going forward. We get a straight four-tenths of a percent from
sales tax collections that come in to the LED fund and fund of a variety of programs, including quite a few, well several of the programs covered here at LEDC and some very important programs of ours that's small business related as well as some of our fast start programs. So thankfully, those were not harmed. Specifically to LED, this most recent special session, the governor asked us to take a look at our programs and make some targeted improvement, so we were able to make some substantive changes to the enterprise zone program to find some efficiencies and to bring that program up closer to its original public policy purpose, which is to stimulate investment and hiring of economically disadvantaged areas and individuals. As far as our ranking
highlights, we are still doing very well competitively across the country when we look at our neighboring state which will we're competing as well as other states
across the country, and, in fact, we were ranked number 6 in Site Selection Governor's Cup, which is an organization that looks at the per capita rankings among national leaders for economic development projects, and we were ranged number 6 in 2015. We also received a number for rankings from Site Selection Magazine for its 2015 top state business climate rankings, and it is our 6th consecutive year being ranked in the top 10 for this award, so we received that award in November of 2015, and we've had some really good project announcements in the latter half of '15 as well as the first part of 2016 really covering a good, good cross section of the state Express Jet Aircraft Maintenance facility expansion project is going to be in Shreveport, and that's going to bring almost 70, a combination of 70 new and indirect jobs to the area. Blue Line Associates is a software development company. They made a project announcement for the Baton Rouge
area combined about close to 70 jobs there as well, direct and indirect.

Lake Charles areas, southwest Louisiana continues to kind of lead the charge.

Axio Corporation and Lock Corporation are doing a joint venture where they're going to create two new chemical manufacturing projects, and that one's huge. It's 215 new direct jobs and over 18 hundred indict jobs for that area.

Shell Chemical has announced in
Ascension Parish. We've got a
technology services company called
Smashing Boxes. They're in the New
Orleans area, and they're going to be bringing 85 new indirect jobs to that
area. Saint John the Baptist Parish has
an announcement in November '15 with a corporate headquarters that's going to be located in Louisiana, a company called Denka Performance Elastomer. And then we have methanol plant
announcements in Saint James Parish, petroleum liquid storage terminal again in Saint John parish. And then a video
game company called InXile Entertainment made an announcement in October in New

Orleans that they're going to be bringing 50 new direct jobs to the area, and we estimate about 50 or so indirect jobs, and so we continue to compete, and thankfully we still have the tools in our tool kit, our various programs, our small business programs, and our incentive programs are still available to us to help us attract those companies. We are at a point with the legislature in which our programs will begin to come under review, and we welcome that. So the Revenues and Fiscal Affairs Committee has its review of all exemptions. Part of it includes our incentive programs as well as there was a task force on structural budget and tax policy change that has already began to work. They're going to be looking holistically at a structural tax perform, and part of that is, again, to take a look at tax credit rebates, exemptions, deductions, and exclusions
and part of which does, in fact, include our program, so we're gearing up to prepare to show how our programs are, in fact, performing. That's kind of the biggest thing on our plate right now from a legislative perspective, and we continue to monitor the budget because unfortunately the legislature did not completely close the budget gap during the special session for either fiscal year '16 or '17, and so we have to be vigilant about our department's budget.

We're one of the smaller departments with only 110 positions and operating budgets somewhere around 40 million, not counting federal funds and not counting other past ruse and other project commitments, so we're a small agency, so we have to be vigilant going forward about any reductions to our budget that may hinder our ability to continue to carry forth our mission, and so we appreciate the support of the LEDC board. Again, we look forward to continuing to work with all of you, and

I'm happy to answer any questions you may have, but very glad that we're able to continue working with you. MR. REINE :

I got a question that probably -- I'm not sure. Maybe this is the staff. In the incentive programs when we talk about job commitments and y'all told me we get claw backs, if they don't reach those commitments of job creations who audits that?

MS. MITCHELL:
We have a team that actually
the program's administrators annually review the performance of the companies that participate in each of their programs, and I just want to point out on our incentive programs, the statutory incentives, they're back in, so the company gets those after they've shown us they have created the job or the capital investment they were supposed to or did the research and development expenditures they were supposed to. It's the discretionary programs that are
sometimes -- does sometimes include funds upfront to the companies, and, again, we review those annually. We check those companies, and if they are not meeting their commitments and we've already provided funds, we do invoke claw backs, and I think we have only one active right, now which is -- is it Nucor Inc.?
MS. VILLA:

I wouldn't even consider it
really claw back, because it was the way
the CEA was written, and we paid the
bond for them, yeah, and their
reimbursement of the payment of the bonds, because [inaudible] expansion that they didn't continue with as of a certain date as of that date.

MR. REINE :
So somebody commits to 100 jobs, and y'all find out that they were 75 jobs. They -- 75 percent of the incentive, or --

MS . ANN :
It depends. Every CEA is
written differently, and the same thing
for EDAPs as we do have with our
discretionary we have with our EDAP,
which is to say is that if -- whatever
their requirements are of their contract, whenever we do do the review, whether it's semiannually or annually, we review it, and they're not -- if they have not met the stipulations of it, then we will seek reimbursement or perhaps they get an annual draw. Their annual draw would be reduced by the set
amount because they didn't meet the qualifications of the contract.

MR. REINE:
Is it pretty much a
percentage of the jobs created, or --
MS. VILIAA:
Usually jobs or payroll,
however the contract's written, or --
MR. REINE:
And somewhere in all of
this, if there's 100 jobs created in an incentive program, do we ever look at how many of those jobs were offered to
or people who are residents of Louisiana got those jobs?

MS. VILLA:
The requirements are they
have to be in Louisiana. That's only jobs. They're all Louisiana jobs.

MS . MITCHELL:
They don't get credit for --
MR. REINE :
I'm not talking about jobs
in Louisiana. I'm talking if the
company comes in and they build a
facility and a hundred people are going
to be to work, do we know the those
hundred people moved here from somewhere else, or did some of our local people get those jobs?

MS MITCHELL:
In some cases, it's a combination of -- often times when companies do locate, they do especially for their executive level focus, they tend to relocate people, but it tends to be a combination of --

MR. REINE:

> Who we attract.

MS . MITCHELL:
We don't track that, but, you know, the jobs, they have to be Louisiana citizens, so I'm guessing the individuals that would relocate from other states eventually become citizens. I do also want to point out we have in each of our CEAs a local preference where we do encourage companies to hire locally and to seek local vendors and contractors and in addition to that, upon the encouragement of Representative Smith, Representative Borel, and Senator Sharon Broom, we've now implemented a new sort of added layer of communication to companies that we do projects with that we send them a follow-up and share with them what the State does with regard to local -- hiring local small businesses and vendors and contractors, and we encourage them to do the same and. We also have an added component for where it's applicable or appropriate for a company to employ strategies for

```
women in the STEM fields, and so we're
starting to sort of ramp up those
efforts with encouraging companies to
use local and use local vendors and
contractors.
```

    MR. REINE :
    Is there a possibility maybe
        as they pile on some future projects to
        track and see, as we're given
        incentives, are the people who are
        actually living here getting
        opportunities out of those? Not that
        I'm saying initially we should have any
        penalties or that, but it would be nice
        to know that if we're going to forgo
        revenue or spend tax dollars, that the
        people who live in this state are given
        an opportunity to participate in those
        projects and do they get jobs? We may
        find out that a bunch of them do. We
        may find out that we're getting a whole
        bunch of new residents, which is not a
        bad thing, but \(I\) just think if we could
        find a pilot project to look at a
        procedure in which we could find out if
    a company came to a location in
Louisiana, did the residents of that
location end up with some of these jobs?
MS . MITCHELL:
That's something I'll take to Secretary Pierson, and we'll have a conversation with the Secretary to see if it's something we can choose whether or not maybe a large project to track that, or maybe not even a large project, a medium sized project, so we'll --

MR. REINE:
Interesting to know.
MS. MITCHELL:
We'll take that TO secretary Pierson. That concludes my report.

MR. ROY:
Thank you very much,
Ms. Mitchell, for your report. Next order of business is the election of officers. If anyone wants to be chairman, please speak up.

MR. REINE:
I would nominate Mr. A.J.
Roy III. I move the nominations be
closed.
MR. ANDRE:
Second.
MR. REINE :
I move that Mr. A.J. Roy be
elected by acclimation.
MR. ROY:
I keep trying. Thank you.
I appreciate that vote of confidence.
Motion and a second. Any discussion?
Hearing none, all in favor, "aye."
(Several members respond
"aye.")
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Thank you very much. I
appreciate your confidence. Next is the vice chairman -- chairperson. Who is the current vice chairperson?

MR. REINE:
I move Alden gets to keep
his job too.
MR. ROY:
I guess motion to appoint

Mr. Andre as vice chairperson. Second?
MS . THAM:
Second.
MR. ROY:
Any discussion? All in
favor, "aye."
(Several members respond
"aye.")
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Congratulations. Now the appointment of committees. Can you read the current appointments?

MS . GUESS :
Right now we have the
Executive Committee, which is shared by the chairmen of the board with Mr. A.J. Roy. We have Mr. Alden Andre, who is the chairman of the Screening Committee by virtue of his office being vice chairperson. We have Cal Simpson as chairman of the Policy Committee. Ms. Susan Tham, the chairperson of the Financial Committee, and that's it.

MR. REINE:
Quentin is no longer with
us, right?
MR. ROY:
Yeah. Who else is no
longer? Mr. Simple is --
MS . GUESS :
Mr. Simpson is still on the
board. We have four vacancies currently. Our sitting board members are the five of you guys. There is Mr. Pierson as well and Mr. Kamath. So we have Mr. Roy, Alden Andre, Louis Reine, Susan Tham, Don Pierson, our designee, Cal Simpson, and Nitin Kamath, which gives us six individuals. We have four vacancies.

MR. ROY:
So of those on the list that you read, who might we need to change because of vacancies?

MS . GUESS :
The only individual --
Quentin Messer is on this list as chairman of the Governmental Outreach

Committee, and then he's also on
Policies.
MR. ROY:
Appropriate for Mr. Mitchell
to be --
MS . GUESS :
Yes. Because Mr. Messer was the then secretary designee as well.

MR. ROY:
Right. Okay. Is that fine with you?

MS . MITCHELL:
Yeah, that's fine.
MR. ROY:
Any other changes that we need to address because of vacancies?

MS . GUESS :
No.
MR. ROY:
I assume everyone is content with their current assignments?

MR. REINE :
Yes.
MR. ROY:
Okay. Very good. We'll
keep things as they are with that one change. Yes, ma'am.

MS . GUESS :
I just want to give you an update where we are with board assignments. Governor's Office Board of Commission. They're working on it. We are currently working with them to get placements for the Board of Commerce and Industry, and *that's, I think, the hierarchy is getting Commerce and Industry, because we're talking about a board of 24 individuals. I don't think -- they tell me there's not a lot of people standing in line for the non-paid boards. I don't know why.

MR. REINE :
How many vacancies on
Commerce and Industry?
MS . GUESS :
Currently there are
approximately five or six.
MR. ROY:
Did you say there were 24?
Oh, my, gosh.

MS. GUESS :
Yes, there are 24, but four of which are legislative representatives from various committees. So I'm optimistically hoping that we will have a full LEDC board by May, so I would say April, but the names have been with the boards and commissions prior to the change in administration, but the new staff in boards and commissions, they're working with us very, very diligently, and we're working with them, because they're having to go back in and reconstruct information that was not left behind by the previous staff, so we're hoping we'll have a full board by at least May.

MR. ROY:
Okay. Anything else?
MS . GUESS :
No. I'm done.
MR. ROY:
Okay. All right. So the
committee assignments stand as they were with the exception of Ms. Mitchell, I
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believe.
Any other comments from --
any comments from the public? The public has been very, very quiet today. Any other business? Motion to adjourn.

MR. ANDRE:
Second.
MR. ROY:
Adjourned.
(The meeting adjourned at 3:14 p.m.)
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